

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,919	11/03/2003	Stephen Bowling	3897 EXAMINER	
75	90 09/20/2004			
Stephen Bowling			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
c/o Valley of Mexico, Inc. 59 Broad Street			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Stamford, CT 06901			3712	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2			$ \sqrt{1} $
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/699,919	BOWLING, STEPHEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Vishu K Mendiratta	3712	I
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	, ,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	<u>ovember 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•		S
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).	
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	· ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (FTO-132)	
			•

Application/Control Number: 10/699,919

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the playing field" in claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. The claims 19-20 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

In the absence of properly provided apparatus/environment it is not possible to practice a method claim. The structure of the apparatus is unclear in claims and it would not be possible to practice

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-10,14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zaruba (2002/0109298A1).

Art Unit: 3712

Zaruba teaches a plurality of capsulated visible characters (abstract). Each game piece having it's strength written on a card at the bottom of the capsule (44).

6. Claims 19-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by a Chess game of Helfgott (3856309).

Helfgott teaches game pieces in a chess game move according to their powers on a playing surface. Applicant's claim is narrative and uses commonly used terminology liable to broad and reasonable interpretation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims11-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zaruba in view of Grossman (3904208).

Zaruba teaches all limitations except that it does not teach a game board with the game pieces.

Grossman teaches providing game board with game pieces (abstract).

In the art area of amusement articles game pieces have multiple uses. Commonly they are used in combination of game boards such as betting or wagering boards. Such boards provide a surface for placing game pieces as an indication of the player being active in the game. In order to appropriately play a game, it would have been obvious to provide a playing surface, in this case a board. One of ordinary skill in art at the time

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/699,919

Art Unit: 3712

the invention was made would have suggested providing a playing surface to appropriately play the game.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (703) 306-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner

VKM September 16, 2004